



# Ionization and Transport

FLUKA Beginners Course

# Topics



- General settings
- Interactions of leptons/photons
  - Photon interactions
    - ◆ Photoelectric
    - ◆ Compton
    - ◆ Rayleigh
    - ◆ Pair production
    - ◆ Photonuclear
    - ◆ Photomuon production
  - Electron/positron interactions
    - ◆ Bremsstrahlung
    - ◆ Scattering on electrons
  - Muon interactions
    - ◆ Bremsstrahlung
    - ◆ Pair production
    - ◆ Nuclear interactions

- Ionization energy losses
  - Continuous
  - Delta-ray production
- Transport
  - Multiple scattering
  - Single scattering

*These are common to all charged particles, although traditionally associated with EM*

- ⑩ Transport in Magnetic field

# Ionization energy losses

- Charged hadrons
- Muons
- Electrons/positrons
- Heavy Ions

*All share the same approach*

Some extra features are needed for **Heavy Ions**

# Charged particle $dE/dx$ : Bethe-Bloch

Spin 0  
(spin 1 is similar):

$\sim \ln \beta^4 \gamma^4$   
relativistic rise

$$\left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)_0 = \frac{2\pi n_e r_e^2 m_e c^2 z^2}{\beta^2} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 T_{\max}}{I^2 (1-\beta^2)} \right) - 2\beta^2 + 2zL_1(\beta) + 2z^2 L_2(\beta) - 2\frac{C}{Z} - \delta + G \right]$$

- $I$  : mean excitation energy , material-dependent
- $\delta$  : density correction
- $C$  : is the shell correction, important at low energies
- $T_{\max}$  : maximum energy transfer to an electron (from kinematics)

## Higher order corrections implemented in FLUKA

- $L1$  : Barkas ( $z^3$ ) correction responsible for the difference in stopping power for particles-antiparticles
- $L2$  the Bloch ( $z^4$ ) correction
- $G$  : Mott corrections

Valid for  $m \gg m_e$ . However, the formulation for electron/positrons is similar, with the exception of "energetic" collisions with atomic electrons.

# Discrete ionization events

Above a pre-set threshold, ionization is modeled as  $\delta$  ray production (free electrons)

- Spin 0 or 1/2  $\delta$ -ray production (charged hadrons, muons)
- Mott for heavy ions
- Bhabha scattering ( $e^+$ )
- Møller scattering ( $e^-$ )

The threshold refers to the kinetic energy of the emitted  $\delta$  ray

For Electrons : set by **EMFCUT** with the **PROD-CUT** sdum

For charged hadrons/muons:

<b>DELTARAY</b>	<b><math>\delta</math>Thresh</b>	<b>Ntab</b>	<b>Wtab</b>	<b>Mat1</b>	<b>Mat2</b>	<b>Step</b>	<b>PRINT</b>
-----------------	----------------------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	--------------

$\delta$ Thresh = production threshold, in materials Mat1  $\rightarrow$  Mat2

Ntab, Wtab control the accuracy of dp/dx tabulations (advanced user)

If PRINT is set (not def.) dp/dx tabulations are printed on stdout

# Below $\delta$ threshold

## Restricted energy losses

For particles much heavier than electrons and charge  $z$ , with energy transfers to atomic electrons restricted at  $T_\delta$  the formula is given by:

Spin 0:

$$\left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)_{0T_\delta} = \frac{2\pi n_e r_e^2 m_e c^2 z^2}{\beta^2} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 T_\delta}{I^2 (1-\beta^2)}\right) - \beta^2 \left(1 - \frac{T_\delta}{T_{\max}}\right) + 2zL_1(\beta) + 2z^2 L_2(\beta) - 2\frac{C}{Z} - \delta + G \right]$$

Spin 1/2:

$$\left(\frac{dE}{dx}\right)_{\frac{1}{2}T_\delta} = \frac{2\pi n_e r_e^2 m_e c^2 z^2}{\beta^2} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 T_\delta}{I^2 (1-\beta^2)}\right) - \beta^2 \left(1 + \frac{T_\delta}{T_{\max}}\right) + \frac{1}{4} \frac{T_\delta^2}{\mu_0 + Mc^2} + 2zL_1(\beta) + 2z^2 L_2(\beta) - 2\frac{C}{Z} - \delta + G \right]$$

# Continuous energy losses

Below the  $\delta$ -ray threshold, energy losses are treated as “continuous”, with some special features:

- Fluctuations of energy loss are simulated with a FLUKA-specific algorithm
- The energy dependence of cross sections and  $dE/dx$  is taken into account exactly (see later)
- Latest recommended values of ionization potential and density effect parameters implemented for elements (Sternheimer, Berger & Seltzer), but can be overridden by the user with (set yourself for compounds!)

STERNHEI	C	X0	X1	a	m	$\delta_0$	MAT
----------	---	----	----	---	---	------------	-----

MAT-PROP	Gasp	Rhosc	Iion	Mat1	Mat2	Step
----------	------	-------	------	------	------	------

# Ionization fluctuations -I

The Landau distribution is limited in several respects:

- Max. energy of  $\delta$  rays assumed to be  $\infty \implies$  cannot be applied for long steps or low velocities
- cross section for close collisions assumed equal for all particles
- fluctuations connected with distant collisions neglected  $\implies$  cannot be applied for short steps
- incompatible with explicit  $\delta$ -ray production



The Vavilov distribution overcomes some of the Landau limitations, but is difficult to compute if step length or energy are not known *a priori*.

# Ionization fluctuations -II

## The FLUKA approach:

- based on general statistical properties of the cumulants of a distribution (in this case a Poisson distribution convoluted with  $d\sigma/dE$ )
- integrals can be calculated analytically and exactly a priori  
 $\implies$  minimal CPU time
- applicable to any kind of charged particle, taking into account the proper (spin-dependent) cross section for  $\delta$  ray production
- the first 6 moments of the energy loss distribution are reproduced  
( $k_n = \langle (x - \langle x \rangle)^n \rangle$ )

# Ionization fluctuations -III



Experimental<sup>1</sup> and calculated energy loss distributions for 2 GeV/c positrons (left) and protons (right) traversing 100 $\mu\text{m}$  of Si  
J.Bak et al. NPB288, 681 (1987)

# Nuclear stopping power (NEW)

- Besides Coulomb scattering with atomic **electrons**, particles undergo Coulomb scattering also with atomic **nuclei**
- The resulting energy losses, called nuclear stopping power, are smaller than the atomic ones, but are important for
  - Heavy particles (i.e. ions)
  - Damage to materials

# Energy dependent quantities I

- Most charged particle transport programs sample the next collision point evaluating the cross section at the beginning of the step, neglecting its energy dependence and the particle energy loss
- The cross section for  $\delta$  ray production at low energies is roughly inversely proportional to the particle energy  
 $\implies$  a typical 20% fractional energy loss per step would correspond to a similar variation in the cross section
- Some codes use a rejection technique based on the ratio between the cross section values at the two step endpoints, but this approach is valid only for a monotonically decreasing cross section

# Energy dependent quantities II

FLUKA takes into account exactly the continuous energy dependence of

- discrete event cross-section
- stopping power

basing the rejection technique on the ratio between the cross section value at the second endpoint and its maximum value between the two endpoint energies.

# Ionization fluctuation options

Ionization fluctuations are simulated or not depending on the DEFAULTS used. Can be controlled by


IONFLUCT	FlagH	FlagEM	Accuracy	Mat1	Mat2	STEP
----------	-------	--------	----------	------	------	------

*Remember always that  $\delta$ -ray production is controlled independently and cannot be switched off for  $e^+/e^-$  (it would be physically meaningless)*

# Playing with a proton beam

Dose vs depth  
energy deposition  
in water for a 200  
MeV p beam with  
various approximations  
for the physical  
processes taken into  
account

200 MeV p on water (pencil beam)




# Playing with a proton beam II part

214 MeV p on Water

Dose vs depth  
energy deposition  
in water for a 214  
MeV real p beam  
under various  
conditions.

Exp. Data from PSI





# Heavy ions

## Ionization energy losses

- Up-to-date effective charge parameterizations
- Energy loss straggling according to:
  - “normal” first Born approximation
  - Charge exchange effects (dominant at low energies, ad-hoc model developed for FLUKA)
  - Mott cross section
  - Nuclear form factors (high energies)
  - Direct  $e^+/e^-$  production

# Heavy ions dE/dx




Comparison of experimental (R.Bimbot, NIMB69 (1992) 1) (red) and FLUKA (blue) stopping powers of Argon and Uranium ions in different materials and at different energies.

# Bragg peaks vs exp. data: $^{20}\text{Ne}$ @ 670 MeV/n

Dose vs depth distribution for 670 MeV/n  $^{20}\text{Ne}$  ions on a water phantom. The green line is the FLUKA prediction. The symbols are exp data from LBL and GSI.

Exp. Data  
Jpn.J.Med.Phys. 18,  
1,1998




# Bragg peaks vs exp. data: $^{12}\text{C}$ @ 270 & 330 MeV/n

Dose vs depth distribution for 270 and 330 MeV/n  $^{12}\text{C}$  ions on a water phantom.

The full green and dashed blue lines are the FLUKA predictions

The symbols are exp data from GSI


Exp. Data  
Jpn.J.Med.Phys. 18,  
1,1998



## Bragg peaks vs exp. data: $^{12}\text{C}$ @ 270 MeV/n

Close-up of the dose vs depth distribution for 270 MeV/n  $^{12}\text{C}$  ions on a water phantom.

The **green** line is the FLUKA prediction with the nominal 0.15% energy spread  
The **dotted light blue** line is the prediction for no spread  
and the **dashed blue** one the prediction for  $I$  increased by 1 eV



Exp. Data  
Jpn.J.Med.Phys. 18,  
1,1998



# Charged particle transport

FLUKA

# Setting particle transport threshold

PART-THR Thresh Part1 Part2 Step

- Hadron and muon transport thresholds are set with this card (see the manual for details)
- The neutron threshold has a special meaning (as shown in the low energy neutron lecture), leave at the default value ( $1 \times 10^{-5}$  eV)

***Warning: the behaviour of PART-THR for neutrons has changed with the 2008 release!!***

- The threshold for nbar's and neutral kaons should always be zero

# Charged particle transport

Besides energy losses, charged particles undergo scattering by atomic nuclei. The **Molière** multiple scattering (**MCS**) theory is commonly used to describe the cumulative effect of all scatterings along a charged particle step. However

- **Final** deflection wrt initial direction
- **Lateral** displacement during the step
- **Shortening** of the straight step with respect to the total trajectory due to “wiggleness” of the path (often referred to as **PLC**, path length correction)
- **Truncation** of the step on boundaries
- Interplay with **magnetic field**

**MUST** all be accounted for accurately, to avoid **artifacts** like unphysical distributions on boundary and **step length dependence of the results**

# The FLUKA MCS

- Accurate **PLC** (not the average value but sampled from a distribution), giving a **complete independence from step size**
- Correct **lateral displacement** even near a boundary
- **Correlations:**
  - PLC  $\Leftrightarrow$  lateral deflection
  - lateral displacement  $\Leftrightarrow$  longitudinal displacement
  - scattering angle  $\Leftrightarrow$  longitudinal displacement
- Variation with energy of the Moliere **screening correction**
- Optionally, **spin-relativistic corrections** (1st or 2nd Born approximation) and effect of nucleus finite size (**form factors**)
- **Special** geometry tracking **near boundaries**, with automatic control of the step size
- On user request, **single scattering** automatically replaces multiple scattering for steps close to a boundary or too short to satisfy Moliere theory. A full Single Scattering option is also available.
- Moliere theory used strictly within its **limits of validity**
- combined effect of MCS and **magnetic fields**

# The FLUKA MCS - II

- As a result, FLUKA can correctly simulate **electron backscattering** even at very low energies and in most cases without switching off the condensed history transport (a real challenge for an algorithm based on Moliere theory!)
- The sophisticated treatment of boundaries allows also to deal successfully with gases, very thin regions and interfaces
- The same algorithm is used for charged hadrons and muons

# Single Scattering

- In very thin layers, wires, or gases, Molière theory does not apply.
- In FLUKA, it is possible to replace the standard multiple scattering algorithm by **single scattering** in defined materials (option MULSOPT).
- Cross section as given by Molière (for consistency)
- Integrated analytically without approximations
- Nuclear and spin-relativistic corrections are applied in a straightforward way by a rejection technique

# Electron Backscattering

Energy (keV)	Material	Experim. (Drescher et al 1970)	FLUKA Single scattering	FLUKA Multiple scattering	CPU time single/mult ratio
9.3	Be	0.050	0.044	0.40	2.73
	Cu	0.313	0.328	0.292	1.12
	Au	0.478	0.517		1.00
102.2	Cu	0.291	0.307	0.288	3.00
	Au	0.513	0.502	0.469	1.59


Fraction of normally incident electrons backscattered out of a surface. All statistical errors are less than 1%.

# User control of MCS

MULSOPT	Flag1	Flag2	Flag3	Mat1	Mat2	Step	SDUM
---------	-------	-------	-------	------	------	------	------

- Allows to optimize the treatment of multiple Coulomb scattering
- Not needed in shielding problems, but important for backscattering and precision dosimetry
- Can be tuned by material.
- Special feature: possibility to **suppress** multiple scattering (applications: **gas bremsstrahlung**, **proton beam interactions with residual gas**)
- Also very important: used to request transport with **single scattering** (CPU demanding, but affordable and very accurate at low electron energies, *can be tuned x material!*)

# Control of step size



Step size is fixed by the corresponding **percentage energy loss** of the particle

Thanks to FLUKA mcs and boundary treatment, results are stable vs. (reasonable) step size

Comparison of calculated and experimental depth-dose profiles, for 0.5 MeV  $e^-$  on Al, with three different step sizes. (2%, 8%, 20%)  
Symbols: experimental data.  
 $r_0$  is the csa range

# Control of step size II

Step sizes are optimized by the DEFAULT settings. If the user REALLY needs to change them

EMFFIX	Mat1	DEstep1	Mat2	DEstep2	Mat3	DEstep3
--------	------	---------	------	---------	------	---------

EM

FLUKAFIX	DEstep	Mat1	Mat2	Step
----------	--------	------	------	------

Had  
μ

DEstep should always be below 30%

- In most routine problems, a 20% fraction energy loss gives satisfactory results. For dosimetry, 5-10% should be preferred.

**WARNING** : if a magnetic field is present, it is important to set also a maximum absolute step length and possibly a precision goal for boundary crossing by means of command STEPSIZE (see later)

# Magnetic field tracking in FLUKA

FLUKA allows for tracking in **arbitrarily complex magnetic fields**. Magnetic field tracking is performed by **iterations** until a given accuracy when crossing a boundary is achieved.

**Meaningful user input is required when setting up the parameters defining the tracking accuracy.**

Furthermore, when tracking in magnetic fields FLUKA accounts for:

- The **precession of the mcs** final direction around the particle direction: this is critical in order to preserve the various correlations embedded in the FLUKA advanced MCS algorithm
- The **precession of a (possible) particle polarization** around its direction of motion: this matters only when polarization of charged particles is a issue (mostly for muons in Fluka)
- The **decrease of the particle momentum** due to energy losses along a given step and hence the corresponding decrease of its curvature radius. Since FLUKA allows for fairly large (up to 20%) fractional energy losses per step, this correction is important in order to prevent excessive tracking inaccuracies to build up, or force to use very small steps

# How to define a magnetic field

- Declare the regions with field in the **ASSIGNMAT** card (what(5))
- Set field/precision :

<b>MGNFIELD</b>	$\alpha$	$\varepsilon$	<b>Smin</b>	$B_x$	$B_y$	$B_z$
-----------------	----------	---------------	-------------	-------	-------	-------

- IF the field is UNIFORM set its components (tesla) in  $B_x, B_y, B_z$
- If not, leave  $B_x=B_y=B_z=0$  and provide a magnetic field pointwise through the user routine **MGNFLD** (see later)
- $\alpha, \varepsilon, Smin$  control the precision of the tracking, (see next slides) . They can be overridden/complemented by the STEPSIZE card


# Magnetic field tracking in FLUKA

The true step (black) is approximated by linear sub-steps. Sub-step length and boundary crossing iteration are governed by the required tracking precision

The **red line** is the path actually followed, the **magenta segment** is the last substep, shortened because of a boundary crossing

- ✿  $\alpha$  = max. tracking angle (MGNFIELD)
- ✿  $\epsilon$  = max. tracking/missing error (MGNFIELD or STEPSIZE)
- ✿  $\epsilon'$  = max. bdrx error (MGNFIELD or STEPSIZE)


The end point is ALWAYS on the true path, generally NOT exactly on the boundary, but at a distance  $< \epsilon'$  from the true boundary crossing (light blue arc)



# Setting the tracking precision

MGNFIELD	$\alpha$	$\varepsilon$	Smin	$B_x$	$B_y$	$B_z$
----------	----------	---------------	------	-------	-------	-------

- $\alpha$  largest angle in degrees that a charged particle is allowed to travel in a single sub-step. Default = 57.0 (but a maximum of 30.0 is recommended!)
- $\varepsilon$  upper limit to error of the boundary iteration in cm ( $\varepsilon'$  in fig.). It also sets the tracking error  $\varepsilon$ . Default = 0.05 cm



IF  $\alpha$  and /or  $\varepsilon$  are too large, boundaries may be missed ( as in the plot).

IF they are too small, CPU time explodes..

Both  $\alpha$  and  $\varepsilon$  conditions are fulfilled during tracking

→ Set them according to your problem


→ Tune  $\varepsilon$  by region with the STEPSIZE card

→ Be careful when very small regions exists in your setting :  $\varepsilon$  must be smaller than the region dimensions!

# Setting the tracking precision

MGNFIELD	$\alpha$	$\varepsilon$	Smin	$B_x$	$B_y$	$B_z$
----------	----------	---------------	------	-------	-------	-------

- **Smin** minimum sub-step length. If the radius of curvature is so small that the maximum sub-step compatible with  $\alpha$  is smaller than Smin, then the condition on  $\alpha$  is overridden. It avoids endless tracking of spiraling low energy particles. Default = 0.1 cm



Particle 1: the sub-step corresponding to  $\alpha$  is  $> S_{min}$  -> accept

Particle 2: the sub-step corresponding to  $\alpha$  is  $< S_{min}$  -> increase  $\alpha$

Smin can be set by region with the **STEPSIZE** card

# Setting precision by region

STEPSIZE	Smin/ $\epsilon$	Smax	Reg1	Reg2	Step
----------	------------------	------	------	------	------

- Smin: (if what(1)>0) minimum step size in cm  
Overrides MGNFIELD if larger than its setting.
- $\epsilon$  (if what(1)<0) : max error on the location of intersection with boundary.
  - The possibility to have different "precision" in different regions allows to save cpu time
- Smax : max step size in cm. Default:100000. cm for a region without mag field, 10 cm with mag field.
  - Smax can be useful for instance for large vacuum regions with relatively low magnetic field
  - It should not be used for general step control, use EMFFIX, FLUKAFIX if needed

# The magfld.f user routine

This routine allows to define arbitrarily complex magnetic fields:

**SUBROUTINE MAGFLD ( X, Y, Z, BTX, BTY, BTZ, B, NREG, IDISC)**

## Input variables:

x,y,z = current position

nreg = current region

## Output variables:

btx,bty,btz = cosines of the magn. field vector

B = magnetic field intensity (Tesla)


idisc = set to 1 if the particle has to be discarded

- All floating point variables are double precision ones!
- BTX, BTY, BTZ must be normalized to 1 in double precision


## Some warnings about scoring:

- Every charged particle step  $\Delta x$  has its length constrained by:
  - Maximum fractional energy loss (see **FLUKAFIX**)
  - Maximum step size for that region (see **STEPSIZE**)
  - MCS (or other) physical constraints
  - Distance to next interaction (nuclear,  $\delta$  ray etc)
- The *average* energy loss is computed as a *careful integration* over the  $dE/dx$  vs energy curve and *then* it is fluctuated  $\rightarrow$  a final  $\Delta E$  is computed and used for scoring  $\rightarrow$  resulting in a scored *average effective  $\Delta E/\Delta x$*  uniform along that step
- The particle energy used for track-length estimators is the average one along the step ( $E_0 - \Delta E/2$ )


# USRBIN track apportioning scoring



# USRBIN track apportioning scoring



# USRTRACK scoring: 200 MeV p on C



Default settings,  $\approx 20\%$  energy loss per step