

Exercise 1: Energy Deposition

FLUKA Advanced Course

Exercise 1a

Study case

Beam dump of a proton-therapy facility

Goal

Evaluate the peak and total energy deposition on the dump

- Requirements
 - Beam settings:
 - 200 MeV protons;
 - Gaussian beam: $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = 1$ mm, with no divergence;
 - Dump: copper cylinder:
 - 5 cm in radius; 5 cm in length;

NB: range of protons@200MeV: ~4.3 cm

(from: http://physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData/Star/Text/PSTAR.html)

Exercise 1a (II)

- Tips & Suggestions:
 - Choose option NEW-DEFA in the **DEFAULTS** card;
 - Set three cylindrical USRBIN detectors, with different radial stepping and maximum radius, in order to compare results:

$$\Delta r_1 = 5\sigma$$
;

$$\Delta r_2 = 1\sigma$$
;

$$\Delta r_3 = 0.1\sigma$$
;

$$R_{1,max} = 5.0cm;$$

$$R_{2,max} = 1.0 cm;$$

$$R_{3,max} = 0.1cm;$$

- In Flair, plot results as longitudinal distributions:
 - 'Type: 1D Max' for the peak energy deposition;
 - 'Type: 1D Projection' for the total energy deposition (i.e. *averaged* over the transverse dimension of the scoring mesh);
- Which plot will show a proper Bragg Peak?
- Variations:
 - How do results change when option PRECISIO is chosen in the DEFAULTS card?

Exercise 1b

Study case

Beam dump of a multi-GeV proton accelerator

Goal

Evaluate the peak and total energy deposition on the dump, and their dependence on the beam dimensions;

- Requirements
 - Beam settings:
 - 20 GeV protons (x100 wrt previous exercise)
 - Gaussian beam: $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = 1$ mm, with no divergence (*basic* case);
 - Dump: copper cylinder:
 - 5 cm in radius; 25 cm in length (x5 wrt previous exercise);

NB: inelastic scattering length of protons@20GeV: 14.6cm;

Radiation length: 1.4cm;

Exercise 1b (II)

Tips & Suggestions:

- Choose option NEW-DEFA in the **DEFAULTS** card;
- Set one cylindrical USRBIN detector, based on the best mesh characteristics from those of the previous exercise;
- Activate Leading Particle Biasing (through EMF-BIAS card);
- In Flair, plot results as longitudinal distributions (see previous exercise);

Variations:

• Increase the beam spot size of the basic case by a factor 2 and 8: how do results change? Is there a linear scaling among the simulated cases?